

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DAAIM J. PATTERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

DR. DAVID R. ZIPF,

Defendant.

3:14-cv-00163-RCJ-WGC

ORDER

I. DISCUSSION

On April 28, 2014, this Court entered a screening order dismissing the complaint in its entirety with prejudice, as amendment would be futile, for failure to state a claim. (ECF No. 6 at 5). This Court also stated that this dismissal would count as a strike pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). (*Id.*). That same day, the Clerk of the Court entered a judgment in this case. (ECF No. 8).

On July 10, 2014, Plaintiff filed an “amended complaint” in this case against different defendants. (ECF No. 10). The Court notes that case 3:14-cv-163-RCJ-WGC is closed. If Plaintiff seeks to initiate a new action against different defendants, Plaintiff must open a new case by filing by his complaint and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* with the Clerk of the Court. Plaintiff shall not file any more documents in this closed case.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff shall not file any more documents in this closed case.

///

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Plaintiff seeks to initiate a new action, Plaintiff must
2 file his complaint and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* with the Clerk of the Court.

3
4 DATED: This 11th day of July, 2014.

5
6 
7 _____
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28